

**STUDENT PERFORMANCE
MEASURE TEMPLATE**

LEA Selected Measures

*An optional template
June 2021*

Guidance on Template Use

The Student Performance Measure (SPM) Template, crafted as a customizable document, is designed to facilitate active participation in the evaluation process while:

- Aligning an identified student challenge or need to related school-level objectives and/or LEA-level priorities,
- Encouraging instructional innovation based on latest research and trends, and
- Improving educator practice.

The use of this template is optional; however, a Student Performance Measure (SPM) is required as part of the evaluation of Educator Effectiveness for professional employees serving as classroom teachers and for the interim rating of all professional employees.

At the beginning of the rating period: *(Initial Conference)*


- ✓ Prior to the initial conference, the educator should reflect on student challenges/needs and draft a plan of action, referencing the Framework for Observation and Practice to inform the response.
- ✓ During the initial conference, the educator and supervising administrator should review and revise the draft, as appropriate, aligning the work to related school-level objectives and/or LEA-level priorities.
- ✓ During the initial conference, the educator and supervising administrator should agree upon the student performance measure(s) and criteria for delineating the four levels of student performance used to inform the educator rating. If using multiple measures, the educator and supervising administrator should pre-determine the proportional significance (i.e., weighting) to be assigned to each measure.
- ✓ **Part I** and **Part II** of the SPM Template should be completed, with the educator and supervising administrator providing signatures, dates, and any comments in the Initial Conference and SPM Approval fields under **Part V**.


During the agreed-upon interval of the rating period: *(Mid-Point Review)*

- ✓ Prior to the mid-point review, the educator should complete the Mid-Point Reflection field under **Part III**.
- ✓ During the mid-point review, the educator and supervising administrator should examine initial evidence of student performance and discuss progress, unanticipated barriers, and needed supports, revising the SPM Template as appropriate and agreed upon by the educator and supervising administrator.
- ✓ The educator and supervising administrator should sign, date, and provide any comments in the Mid-Point Review field, and if applicable, the SPM Revision Approval field, under **Part V**.

At the conclusion of the rating period: *(End-of-Rating Review)*

- ✓ Prior to the end-of-rating review, the educator should complete the Final Reflection field under **Part III**.
- ✓ During the end-of-rating review, the educator and supervising administrator should examine final evidence of student performance and discuss successes, unanticipated barriers, and any supports that might have been useful to the educator, which shall be used cumulatively to inform the Educator Rating in **Part IV**.
- ✓ The educator and supervising administrator should sign, date, and provide any comments in the End-of-Rating Review field under **Part V**.
- ✓ The rating in **Part IV** should be entered into the LEA-Selected Measures section of the corresponding PDE rating form to be factored as part of the overall rating of Educator Effectiveness for the professional employee.

 **Hover or click on this icon** to explore key questions and considerations for select items. These considerations can assist in a thorough student performance measure evaluation.

 **Users with visual impairments** are invited to download the companion PDF version of this document, which is optimized for use with a screen reader.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE MEASURE (SPM) TEMPLATE: LEA SELECTED MEASURES

Educator Name: Child Development Example

School/District:

Rating Period: FROM 07/01/2022 TO 03/30/2023

PART I

Student Challenge/Need	Within the Child Development course, high school students need assistance in creating lesson plans for the nursery school practicum that include all four components of a proper objective (audience, behavior, condition, and degree).		% Weighting 100				
<i>What is the current context, corresponding evidence, and/or anecdotal information that informs your identification of this challenge or need?</i> ⓘ	Students are submitting lesson plans with objectives that are partially complete, often missing the condition and/or degree needed to determine its effectiveness.						
PLAN OF ACTION:							
<i>What is your proposed response?</i> ⓘ	Students will complete two additional tasks - one prior to submitting the lesson plan and one after teaching the lesson. Before turning in the lesson plan, students will complete a checklist to ensure everything required is included. After teaching the lesson, they'll be asked to complete a short reflection regarding their teaching.						
<i>What resources are available to assist you in addressing the student challenge/need?</i> ⓘ	Collaboration with other Family & Consumer Science teachers, OCDEL standards, Child Development Associate (CDA) resources (text and online), Danielson framework						
<i>If applicable, what additional expertise, resources, and/or other supports would assist you in meeting the student challenge/need?</i> ⓘ	Collaboration with local early learning resource centers, attendance at AAFCS National Conference to participate in research panels						
<i>What action steps will you implement to address this student challenge/need?</i> ⓘ	Specific Actions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review objective requirements 2. Introduce students to OCDEL standards 3. Introduce students to Framework for Observation and Practice, focusing on domains 1 and 3 4. Instruct students on new protocol for pre- and post- teaching (checklist and reflection) 	Timeline: <table border="1"> <tr> <td>January</td> </tr> <tr> <td>January</td> </tr> <tr> <td>January</td> </tr> <tr> <td>January-February</td> </tr> </table>		January	January	January	January-February
January							
January							
January							
January-February							

Framework Domain Alignment

Reference the Domains of Practice to which this response aligns.

(Check all that apply.)

Planning & Preparation

Classroom Environment

Instruction

Professional Responsibilities

[↑ BACK TO TOP](#)

PART II

Student Performance Measure (SPM)

Describe the LEA Selected Measure(s):

Students will develop lesson plans whose objectives are complete and will verify this through the use of a checklist. They will also complete a post-teaching reflection using notes from the teacher with the goal of implementing these changes in upcoming lessons.

What student performance evidence or artifacts will you use to measure the progress and effectiveness of your response? ⓘ

- Locally Developed School District Rubric
- District-Designed Measure & Examination
- Nationally Recognized Standardized Test

- Industry Certification Examination
- Student Projects Pursuant to Local Requirements
- Student Portfolios Pursuant to Local Requirement

Evaluation

Describe the specific criteria that will inform the Educator Effectiveness rating.

NOTE: Student Performance Measures may be reused on an annual basis if the responses are updated and the educator continues to offer reflections.

Distinguished:

A majority of the students implement teacher feedback into the next lesson taught.

A majority of the students complete the lesson plan, checklist, and reflection.

Proficient:

A majority of the students complete the lesson plan, checklist, and reflection.

Needs Improvement:

A majority of the students complete two of the three required tasks - lesson plan, checklist, or reflection.

Failing:

A majority of the students do not submit a lesson plan, a checklist, or a reflection.

[↑ BACK TO TOP](#)

PART III

Educator Reflection:

Reflect on your success, unanticipated barriers, any supports that could have been useful, and next steps.

(NOTE: Response to student challenge/need may be revised mid-academic year, if agreed upon by both the administrator and educator.)

Mid-Point Reflection:

Final Reflection:

[↑ BACK TO TOP](#)

PART IV

Educator Rating:

 0

Failing

 1

Needs Improvement

 2

Proficient

 3

Distinguished

[↑](#) BACK TO TOP

PART V: Signatures & Comments

Supervisor

Educator

Initial Conference

Supervisor Comments:

Signature:

Date:

Educator Comments:

Signature:

Date:

SPM Approval

Supervisor Comments:

Signature:

Date:

Educator Comments:

Signature:

Date:

Mid-Point Review

Supervisor Comments:

Signature:

Date:

Educator Comments:

Signature:

Date:

SPM Revision Approval
(if applicable)

Supervisor Comments:

Signature:

Date:

Educator Comments:

Signature:

Date:

End-of-Rating Review

Supervisor Comments:

Signature:

Date:

Educator Comments:

Signature:

Date:

I acknowledge that I have read the information contained herein and that I have been provided an opportunity to discuss it with the rater.

Considerations

What is the current context, corresponding evidence, and/or anecdotal information that informs your identification of this challenge or need?

- What indicators led you to identify this challenge/need?
- Why did you choose this student challenge/need as a priority?
- What internal and/or external factors are driving this student challenge/need?
- What population(s) of the school community will be affected by implementing this response?

[↑ BACK TO ROW](#)

What is your proposed response?

- Why did you choose this response?
- How did you determine the appropriateness of this response to the identified challenge/need?
- How does your response align with school-level objectives and/or LEA-level priorities?
- Is your response supported by research-based best practices and/or educational innovation?

[↑ BACK TO ROW](#)

What resources are available to assist you in addressing the student challenge/need?

- What expertise do you possess to respond to the student challenge/need?
- How are identified resources appropriate to the response?
- How does collaboration contribute to the available resources and/or expertise?

[↑ BACK TO ROW](#)

If applicable, what additional expertise, resources, and/or other supports would assist you in meeting the student challenge/need?

- What obstacles exist to your response to the student challenge/need?
- What resources are needed to overcome these obstacles (budgetary, material, time, professional learning, and/or personnel)?
- What might the role of collaboration be in overcoming these obstacles?

[↑ BACK TO ROW](#)

What action steps will you implement to address this student challenge/need?

- What strategies will you utilize in your response to the student challenge/need?
- What are realistic timeframes and/or benchmarks throughout the process?
- How will you monitor, reflect, and adjust your plan if necessary?

[↑ BACK TO ROW](#)

What student performance evidence or artifacts will you use to measure the progress and effectiveness of your response?

- What artifacts could demonstrate the effectiveness of your response to the student need?

STUDENT PERFORMANCE MEASURE (SPM) TEMPLATE: LEA SELECTED MEASURES

- What evidence would be necessary to answer questions you might ask yourself mid-point?

[↑ BACK TO ROW](#)